

Rating Action: Moody's takes rating actions on Italian banks following Italy's downgrade to A2

Global Credit Research - 05 Oct 2011

Milan, October 05, 2011 -- Moody's Investors Service has today downgraded the senior debt and deposit ratings of Italian banks and Italian government-related financial institutions (GRIs), by between one and three notches. These rating actions follow yesterday's downgrade of the Republic of Italy's government bond rating to A2 from Aa2. The rating action also incorporates a readjustment of our support assumptions for Italian banks to reflect a weakening of the support environment for banks.

These rating actions conclude the reviews for downgrade initiated on 23 June 2011, which were triggered by the review for possible downgrade of the Republic of Italy's ratings on 17 June 2011. The banks' financial strength ratings (BFSRs), which were not part of the review, have not been affected by the rating action on the Republic of Italy, except in the case of UniCredit and Intesa Sanpaolo. For these banks, separate press releases are being issued that detail the actions being taken with regard to their respective BFSRs.

A full list of all the affected ratings can be found at the following link: http://www.moody.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PBC_136445. Please find the press release on the sovereign rating action including the key rating rationale here: http://www.moody.com/viewresearchdoc.aspx?docid=PR_227333.

RATINGS RATIONALE

The key driver of today's rating actions is the downgrade of the Republic of Italy to A2 from Aa2. The downgrade implies that the government has less financial flexibility and would likely face more challenging and difficult policy choices if multiple institutions were to need its financial support at the same time.

The other consideration behind today's rating actions is the increased uncertainty over the medium term regarding the willingness of European Union member states to support senior creditors of institutions; this uncertainty is heightened for banks below the first tier in their domestic markets. This had already prompted Moody's to reduce the levels of support in other European countries. Today's rating actions are consistent with this broader reassessment. Please refer to the "Systemic Support for Banks" link on the Topics page of www.moody.com for related articles.

It is important to note that Moody's continues to assume that support will be forthcoming for Italian banks if support is needed. However, today's action removes the extraordinarily high systemic support of up to 4 notches assumed earlier in the crisis for the weaker institutions, returning support uplifts to a level more appropriate with the evolving support environment. The debt and deposit ratings of many Italian banks continue to have one or two notches of rating lift over their baseline credit assessments (i.e., standalone financial strength) due to their importance to the banking system, and therefore high likelihood of support.

Moody's believes that Italian banks can be categorised into three groups that differ by how much "systemic uplift" they may be expected to receive based on the size and nature of their franchises.

? Group 1: Banks with a very high likelihood of support, which receive up to two notches of systemic uplift. This group comprises the country's largest banks with high national market shares in key banking products: Unicredit, Intesa San Paolo and Banca Monte dei Paschi di Siena. The ratings of Intesa Sanpaolo are constrained at the rating level of the Italian government despite having one of the highest stand alone financial strength ratings in Italy (C+ mapping to an A2 on the long-term scale) given the high correlation with the Italian government's credit worthiness. This is as a result of the bank's direct exposure to Italian government debt and the very domestic focus of its business model.

? Group 2: Banks with a moderate to high likelihood of support. This group receives up to one notch ratings uplift and comprises eight smaller national banks or larger multi-regional banks with lower, but still significant domestic market share. This group comprises Banca Carige, Banca delle Marche, Banca Popolare di Milano, Banca Sella Holding, Banco Popolare, Credito Emiliano, Credito Valtellinese and Unione di Banche Italiane (UBI).

? Group 3: Banks with a low or no likelihood of support which receive no systemic uplift. The rating agency believes that there is insufficient certainty surrounding the likelihood and extent of support available over the medium term to most banks with low domestic market shares to warrant any uplift of their standalone credit strength.

? Furthermore, 17 banks are either subsidiaries of larger Italian banks, the ratings of which already incorporate an uplift due to systemic support, or of cooperative groups. As such, they may receive parental or cooperative support, and their ratings have, in most cases, been affected indirectly by the impact on their parent's/cooperative's ratings .

Two GRIs (Cassa Depositi e Prestiti and ISMEA) have been downgraded to A2, i.e., to the same level as the Italian government, given their government ownership and the strong financial and operational linkages with the government.

RATINGS RATIONALE FOR SENIOR SUBORDINATED AND TIER III DEBT

Moody's has downgraded the senior subordinated debt ratings of 18 Italian banks and the Tier III debt of 13 Italian banks (the latter being rated one notch below these banks' senior subordinated debt), in line with the downgrade of these banks' senior unsecured debt ratings. This rating approach implies that if a bank's senior debt rating benefits from an assumption of systemic support, its subordinated debt and Tier III debt will benefit similarly. Moody's does, however, intend to reassess its systemic support assumptions for subordinated debt and Tier III debt in Italy , alongside other European countries, during the remainder of this year in response to prospective European banking legislation. This reassessment is not captured in today's rating actions.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATINGS UP

An improvement in the banks' standalone BFSRs could exert upward pressure on the banks' debt and deposit ratings; please refer to

www.moodys.com for a more detailed discussion of each individual bank's key rating drivers. However, in the current difficult operating environment, the opportunity for this appears very limited. An upgrade of the Italian government bond rating could also exert upward pressure on the banks' debt and deposit ratings; however, this too is unlikely given the negative outlook on the ratings of the Italian government.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATINGS DOWN

The ratings of those banks that continue to benefit from systemic support remain linked to the creditworthiness of the Italian government and to a further reduction in Moody's systemic support assumptions. In that context, any further developments relating to the legislation governing the resolution powers regarding banks -- i.e., developments that increase the likelihood of senior creditors incurring losses -- could exert downward pressure on the deposit and debt ratings of those banks which currently benefit from systemic support. Any downgrades of the BFSRs on the individual banks -- for example in the case of further escalating funding difficulties - could also lead to additional negative rating pressures, particularly given the reduced level of systemic support.

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGIES

The principal methodologies used in this rating were Bank Financial Strength Ratings: Global Methodology published in February 2007, and Incorporation of Joint-Default Analysis into Moody's Bank Ratings: A Refined Methodology published in March 2007. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moodys.com for a copy of these methodologies.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides relevant regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

The rating has been disclosed to the rated entity or its designated agent(s) and issued with/with no amendment resulting from that disclosure.

Information sources used to prepare the rating are the following : parties involved in the ratings, and public information.

Moody's considers the quality of information available on the rated entity, obligation or credit satisfactory for the purposes of issuing a rating.

In addition to the information provided below please find on the ratings tab of the issuer page at www.moodys.com, for each of the ratings covered, Moody's disclosures on the lead rating analyst and the Moody's legal entity that has issued each of the ratings.

Moody's adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, Moody's is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process.

Moody's Investors Service may have provided Ancillary or Other Permissible Service(s) to the rated entity or its related third parties within the three years preceding the credit rating action. Please see the special report "Ancillary or other permissible services provided to entities rated by MIS's EU credit rating agencies" on the ratings disclosure page on our website www.moodys.com for further information.

Please see Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions on the Rating Process page on www.moodys.com for further information on the meaning of each rating category and the definition of default and recovery.

Please see ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the last rating action and the rating history.

The date on which some ratings were first released goes back to a time before Moody's ratings were fully digitized and accurate data may not be available. Consequently, Moody's provides a date that it believes is the most reliable and accurate based on the information that is available to it. Please see the ratings disclosure page on our website www.moodys.com for further information.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Johannes Wassenberg
MD - Banking
Financial Institutions Group
Moody's Investors Service Ltd.
One Canada Square
Canary Wharf
London E14 5FA
United Kingdom
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456
SUBSCRIBERS: 44 20 7772 5454

Gregory W. Bauer
MD - Global Banking
Financial Institutions Group
JOURNALISTS: 212-553-0376
SUBSCRIBERS: 212-553-1653

Releasing Office:
Moody's Italia S.r.l

Corso di Porta Romana 68
Milan 20122
Italy
Telephone: +39-02-9148-1100



© 2011 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ARE MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC.'S ("MIS") CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MIS DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS ARE NOT RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MIS ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Any publication into Australia of this document is by MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657, which holds Australian Financial Services License no. 336969. This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, credit ratings assigned on and after October 1, 2010 by Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") are MJKK's current opinions of the relative future credit risk of entities, credit commitments, or debt or debt-like securities. In such a case, "MIS" in the foregoing statements shall be deemed to be replaced with "MJKK". MJKK is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO.

This credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness or a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be dangerous for retail investors to make any investment decision based on this credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.